



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.usnto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/676,265	09/28/2000	Tyrone Floryanzia 50325-0102		6713	
7	590 04/07/2004	EXAMINER			
Hickman Pale	ermo Truong & Becker,	LIPMAN,	LIPMAN, JACOB		
San Jose, CA		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
•			2134	0	
			DATE MAILED: 04/07/2004	, >	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A			
		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)	dr			
		09/676,265		FLORYANZIA, TY	RONE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
٠.	v	Jacob Lipman		2134				
Dariad &	The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cov	er sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress			
Period fo	OF REDIV CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO	OR REPLY IS SET TO E	XPIRE 3 MONTH(5	S) FROM				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of the provis	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho nication. i days, a reply within the statutory i utory period will apply and will expiritly, by statute, cause the application.	owever, may a reply be time minimum of thirty (30) days re SIX (6) MONTHS from t n to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>y.</i> ommunication.			
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	l on 28 September 2000	J <u>.</u>					
2a)□	•	b)⊠ This action is non-f						
3)□	Since this application is in condition f	or allowance except for t	ormal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the ap	oplication.						
ŕ	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from consid	eration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requi	rement.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on 28 September	<u>r 2000</u> is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊠ objecf	ted to by the Exar	niner.			
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including							
11)⊠	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note t	he attached Office	Action or form P1	ГО-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreign priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a)	) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1.☐ Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been re	ceived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority of							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	(100)		ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the Internation			_				
·	See the attached detailed Office action	i for a list of the certified	copies not receive	:O.				
Attachme		ا ده	Interview Summary	(PTO 413)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P		Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
3) 🖾 Info	rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or I		_	atent Application (PT0	O-152)			
rap	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>4</u> .	0) (	Juliel.	8				

Application/Control Number: 09/676,265 Page 2

Art Unit: 2134

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 1/17/2001 has been considered by the examiner.

#### Oath/Declaration

2. Applicant has not given a post office address anywhere in the application papers as required by 37 CFR 1.33(a), which was in effect at the time of filing of the oath or declaration. A statement over applicant's signature providing a complete post office address is required.

### **Drawings**

3. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. Claims 4, 9, 19, 22, and 27, recite the limitation "the then-current". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claims. It is unclear when "then" is

Art Unit: 2134

in this limitation. Line 3 of claim 4 discloses determining whether the request was created within a reasonable time with respect to the then-current time. If "then" is the time of creation, this determining step cannot be understood.

- 7. The term "reasonable" in claims 4, 9, 19, 22, and 27 is a relative term which renders the claims indefinite. The term "reasonable" is not defined by the claims, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.
- 8. The term "non-encrypted" in claims 1-31 is indefinite because data transferred over Internet Protocol must be encrypted in one way or another. The information is stored as bits that can only be retrieved by one with the correct key or algorithm.
- 9. The term "H.235 ClearToken" in claims 3, 11, 18, and 26 is indefinite, since it is a trademark. If a trademark or trade name is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. Ex parte Simpson, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982) (MPEP 2173.05(u)).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 or 103

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Application/Control Number: 09/676,265 Page 4

Art Unit: 2134

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 12. Claims 1-31, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over admitted prior art.

The claimed invention, as described by the specification, seems to be the same as the admitted prior art, with the substitution of not encrypting the authentication information, as is done in the prior art. Since the claims state comprising, further encrypting the information would read on the claims.

Further, the examiner takes official notice that not encrypting information will lower processing time and security. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to not encrypt the authentication information when a lower level of security is necessary, to lower the processing time.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 703-305-0716. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5 M-Th, and alternating Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 703-308-4789. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100